UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,607	02/22/2000	Brian M. Kennedy	020431.0662	2320
53184 Booth Udall, PI	7590 12/05/201 LC	2	EXAM	IINER
1155 W Rio Salado Parkway Suite 101			PAULS, JOHN A	
Tempe, AZ 852	281		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

steven@boothudall.com hbarnes@boothudall.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/510,607	KENNEDY, BRIAN M.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN PAULS	3686			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):				
(1) <u>JOHN PAULS</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Steven J. Laureanti</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 27 November 2012.					
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant	☐ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 0t (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and determined to the checked box (es) above.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>43</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Rhodes</i> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc) Discussed potential amendments to clairify the terms "seller", "parent seller" and "sub-seller" and whether each of					
these terms is associated with a seller model. The independent claims have conflicting limitations with regard to this					
feature. examiner agreed that Rhodes does not teach the	<u>reatures attributed to it.</u> .				
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to	provide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	3.04 for complete and proper recordation of any other pertinent matters discussed	on including the iden od regarding patental	tification of the pility and the		
☐ Attachment					
/JOHN A. PAULS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3686					

Application No.

Applicant(s)